

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/744,677	KOCH ET AL.
	Examiner Sam Bhattacharya	Art Unit 2617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Sam Bhattacharya.

(3) _____.

(2) Philippe J. C. Signore

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 8/22/06

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Donovan, Kennedy, Gotteman

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See below

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Applicant's representative suggested amendments to the independent claims. These amendments appear to overcome the applied prior art. Applicant's representative will file a response incorporating these amendments.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Sam Bhattacharya
Examiner's signature, if required